

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/776,449		HEPWORTH ET AL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JOHN B. STREGE		2624	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN B. STREGE.

(2) THOMAS M. HARDMAN #51,777.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 of claim 1 due to Bilski

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner recommended amending the claims to overcome the 101 rejection in regards to Bilski. The Applicant agreed to amend the claims to include "using a graphical code reader to carry out the steps of:"

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)